

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 266 019

SE 046 401

AUTHOR Suydam, Marilyn N.
TITLE Recent Research on Mathematics Instruction.
ERIC/SMEAC Mathematics Education Digest No. 2.
INSTITUTION ERIC Clearinghouse for Science, Mathematics, and
Environmental Education, Columbus, Ohio.
SPONS AGENCY National Inst. of Education (ED), Washington, DC.
PUB DATE 85
CONTRACT 400-78-0004
NOTE 3p.
AVAILABLE FROM ERIC/SMEAC, The Ohio State Univ., 1200 Chambers Rd.,
3rd Floor, Columbus, OH 43212 (\$1.00).
PUB TYPE Information Analyses - ERIC Information Analysis
Products (071)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Computation; Educational Research; Elementary
Secondary Education; Estimation (Mathematics);
Literature Reviews; *Mathematics Education;
*Mathematics Instruction; *Problem Solving; *Research
Utilization; Subtraction; *Teaching Methods
IDENTIFIERS ERIC Digests; *Mathematics Education Research

ABSTRACT

Some recent research findings with implications for improving mathematics instruction are reviewed in this report. The focus is on two topics: computation, in particular subtraction and estimation, and problem solving. Findings about each are listed, with references for each cited study included. Effective algorithms and strategies used by students are given particular importance in the computation section, while both problem characteristics and problem-solving strategies are included in the problem-solving section. (MNS)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *



Clearinghouse for Science, Mathematics, and Environmental Education

1200 Chambers Road, Third Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43212
(614) 422-6717

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

✓ This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.

□ Minor changes have been made to improve
reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-
ment do not necessarily represent official NIE
position or policy.

1985

ERIC/SMEAC Mathematics Education Digest No. 2

RECENT RESEARCH ON MATHEMATICS INSTRUCTION

What have we learned from research about how to teach mathematics more effectively? By the early 1980s, a number of publications were available which were designed for teachers, discussing research findings which have implications for classroom practice. These include Driscoll (1980, 1981), Dessart and Suydam (1983), Suydam and Dessart (1976), and Suydam and Weaver (1981). These publications have been supplemented by briefer reviews, such as the "Research Reports" in the *Arithmetic Teacher*, designed for quick reading by busy teachers. This Digest continues the pattern, reviewing recent research of particular interest to teachers.

The focus of many of these studies has been on two topics: computation, and in particular subtraction and estimation, and problem solving. These two concerns have garnered continued research attention throughout this century — the first presumably because it has been the prevailing focus of the elementary school mathematics program, and the second because of its difficulty for both teachers and students at all levels.

Computation

Some of the research on computation arises from investigations into how children learn early number ideas — from counting and numeration, the studies move to explore how these are used with the operations of addition and subtraction. The difficulty children have with subtraction makes it a focal point for researchers. From the recent research we have evidence to add to that summarized earlier (e.g., see Suydam and Dessart, 1976):

- The *decomposition algorithm* (see Figure 1) currently used in most mathematics programs to teach multidigit subtraction with regrouping or renaming ("borrowing") continues to be effective (Paulson, 1984).
- However, the *equal additions algorithm* is also effective (Brown, 1984).

How do you do this example?
$$\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ -24 \\ \hline 67 \end{array}$$

You're using *decomposition* if you do it this way:

$11 - 4 = 7$ (ones); $8 - 2 = 6$ (tens)

If you do it this way, you're using *equal additions*:

$11 - 4 = 7$ (ones); $9 - 3 = 6$ (tens)

Figure 1.

Second graders were more likely to be correct and were faster when using it. Thus, it is plausible to use either algorithm.

- As you would expect, the main source of difficulty when doing subtraction involves "borrowing" (Cebulski, 1984). Children either attempted to borrow incorrectly, or made inversion errors — that is, they ignored the location of the digits and subtracted the smaller number from the larger. When the skills required for borrowing were taught to third and fourth graders in a *step-by-step* fashion, with feedback, their achievement improved.

- Beal (1984) examined the relationship between students' understanding of principles underlying the decimal numeration system and computational errors in subtraction. The types of errors third graders made with subtraction with multiple renaming were linked to their performance on such tasks as regrouping or trading with manipulative materials, writing multidigit numbers, creating smallest or largest numbers with digit cards, and naming the value of a digit. Thus, *careful attention to developing numeration ideas can have a payoff in work with subtraction.*
- Children invent strategies to solve subtraction problems. Carpenter and Moser (1984) reported that children used a variety of modeling and counting strategies even before formal instruction, and these invented strategies continued to be used for several years. *Helping children to merge invented strategies* with the often more abstract ones taught in school requires careful development by the teacher. Baroody (1984) detailed some informal subtraction strategies, and presented some ideas for the teacher to use that incorporate these varied strategies.
- The use of counting strategies to solve subtraction problems was also noted by Steinberg (1984). She taught second graders to use derived fact strategies, in which *known number facts are used to find the solution to unknown facts*. After eight weeks of instruction, children more than doubled their use of derived facts, which involve *more mature ways of thinking* than reliance solely on counting.

Estimation is another important topic once again receiving increased attention. It is especially important for two reasons: (1) it is used far more often than paper-and-pencil skills in everyday life and (2) it is particularly important as both adults and children do more work with calculators and computers. Ways to check the reasonableness of results are vital.

- Estimation skills depend strongly on a student's "number sense" (Threadgill-Sowder, 1984). They need to develop a "friendliness" for numbers, including awareness that most computations can be done in many ways, rather than relying on one algorithm.
- Even at the tenth-grade level, counting skill is related to accuracy in estimating, and better counters *feel more confident* about the estimates they make (Newman, 1984).

Reys (1984) reviewed the research on both estimation and mental computation, and presented specific teaching suggestions. This is a worthwhile article for teachers to check.

Problem Solving

Many summaries of research on problem solving have been prepared [besides those in Driscoll and in Suydam and Weaver, see Silver and Thompson (1984) and Suydam (1980, 1982).] Such summaries have noted the shift in emphasis from the problem to the solver; from what types of problems to use, to how to teach students to solve a wide variety of problems. Nevertheless, some research still concerns problem types:

- Problems with logic content or deductive structure were most preferred by secondary students, while least preferred were problems with geometry content and inductive structure (Miller, 1984).
- Novice algebra students had much more difficulty with surface features of problems than did experienced students (Wilde, 1984). Novices rarely attended to the structure of the problem, a characteristic of good solvers.
- Eighth-grade students were better able to solve real-life situational problems than written problems. Errors could often be traced to weaknesses with content and misunderstanding of concepts (Shinatrakool, 1984).
- Problems which include needed information but few words were not as easy to interpret as problems with more words (Moyer, 1984).

Research has identified problem-solving strategies used by students and has indicated that teaching students to use such strategies promotes problem-solving achievement. Current research continues to support this finding:

- Second-grade pupils given lessons on diagrammatic modeling and deriving number sentences made significant increases in achievement (Luckinich, 1984).
- Ninth-grade students taught to build tables had higher problem-solving scores than students taught only translation (Keller, 1984). Moreover, table-building appeared to be especially helpful to students at the concrete level of cognitive development — the lower achievers.
- Vissa (1985) taught four heuristic strategies to seventh and eighth graders: making a table, making a diagram, analysis by simplification, and guessing and testing. Not only did they learn to use the strategies, but also in solving a novel problem they exhibited greater flexibility in trying other strategies when one seemed unproductive.
- A promising process-oriented instruction program on problem solving has been developed by Charles and Lester (1984). They found that experimental classes in grades 5 and 7 scored significantly higher on ability to understand problems, plan solution strategies, and get correct results.

How does a teacher's behavior affect problem-solving achievement? Behle (1985) found some differences between successful and less successful teachers of problem solving in grade 7. Successful teachers asked questions frequently, while less successful teachers simply demonstrated procedures. Successful teachers used a variety of problem sources, while the others simply used textbook problems. Successful teachers frequently gave additional credit to students for correcting mistakes; the others did not. Successful teachers more often encouraged students to think for themselves, while less successful teachers did much more for their students, including setting up problems.

In a different type of study, Orehovec (1984) traced the development of mathematical problem solving over a 90-year period. He found that problem-solving models developed decades ago continue to be used. He also concluded that problem solving can be successfully taught. For this to happen, there must be a willingness on the part of classroom teachers and others to implement fully a problem-solving approach to teaching mathematics.

Additional information on these studies may be found in the references which follow, and others may be located by checking the annual listing of research in the July issue of the *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*.

REFERENCES

- Baroody, A. J. "Children's Difficulties in Subtraction: Some Causes and Cures." *Arithmetic Teacher* 32: 14-19; Nov. 1984.
- Beal, S. R. N. "Understanding of the Numeration System and Computational Errors in Subtraction." *Dissertation Abstracts International (DAI)* 44A: 2075-6; Jan. 1984.
- Behle, J. H. "The Nature of Problem Solving Instruction in Seventh Grade Mathematics Classrooms." *DAI* 45A: 2428; Feb. 1985.
- Brown, K. S. "Subtraction Without Borrowing: An Alternate Method of Teaching Compound Subtraction Based on the Foundation of Adding and Carrying." *DAI* 45A: 356; Aug. 1984.
- Carpenter, T. P. and J. M. Moser. "The Acquisition of Addition and Subtraction Concepts in Grades One Through Three." *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education* 15: 179-202; May 1984.
- Cebulski, L. A. "Identification and Remediation of Children's Errors in Subtraction." *DAI* 45A: 1338-9; Nov. 1984.
- Charles, R. I. and F. K. Lester, Jr. "An Evaluation of a Process-Oriented Instructional Program in Mathematical Problem Solving in Grades 5 and 7." *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education* 15: 15-34; Jan. 1984.
- Dessart, D. J. and M. N. Suydam. *Classroom Ideas from Research on Secondary School Mathematics*. Reston, VA: NCTM, 1983.
- Driscoll, M. J. *Research Within Reach: Elementary School Mathematics*. St. Louis: CEMREL, 1980.
- Driscoll, M. J. *Research Within Reach: Secondary School Mathematics*. St. Louis: CEMREL, 1981.
- Keller, J. E. "The Effects of Table-Building Problem-Solving Procedures on Students' Understanding of Variables in Pre-Algebra." *DAI* 45A: 1673-4; Dec. 1984.
- Luckinich, P. A. "An Investigation of the Effects of Instruction in Diagrammatic Modeling and Sentence Writing on Children's Ability to Solve Verbal Problems and Number Sentences." *DAI* 44A: 2397; Feb. 1984.
- Miller, S. F. "Personality Traits and the Preference to do Nonroutine Mathematics Problems." *DAI* 44A: 2076-7; Jan. 1984.
- Moyer, J. C. et al. "Story Problem Formats: Verbal Versus Telegraphic." *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education* 15: 64-8; Jan. 1984.
- Newman, R. S. "Children's Numerical Skill and Judgments of Confidence in Estimation." *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology* 37: 107-23; Feb. 1984.
- Orehovec, J. P. "Implications of the Development of Mathematical Problem Solving, 1894-1983." *DAI* 45A: 1062; Oct. 1984.
- Paulson, D. R. "The Effects of Subtraction Algorithms and Short Term Memory on Computational Accuracy on Fifth Grade Students." *DAI* 45A: 1323; Nov. 1984.
- Reys, R. E. "Mental Computation and Estimation: Past, Present, and Future." *Elementary School Journal* 84: 548-57; May 1984.
- Shinatrakool, S. "Relationships Between Verbal and Situational Problem Solving." *DAI* 45A: 404; Aug. 1984.
- Silver, E. A. and A. G. Thompson. "Research Perspectives on Problem Solving in Elementary School Mathematics." *Elementary School Journal* 84: 529-45; May 1984.
- Steinberg, R. A. "A Teaching Experiment of the Learning of Addition and Subtraction Facts." *DAI* 44A: 3313; May 1984.
- Suydam, M. N. "Untangling Clues from Research on Problem Solving." In *Problem Solving in School Mathematics*, 1980 Yearbook. Reston, VA: NCTM, 1980.
- Suydam, M. N. "Update on Research on Problem Solving: Implications for Classroom Teaching." *Arithmetic Teacher* 29: 56-60; Feb. 1982.
- Suydam, M. N. and D. J. Dessart. *Classroom Ideas from Research on Computational Skills*. Reston, VA: NCTM, 1976.
- Suydam, M. N. and J. F. Weaver. *Using Research: A Key to Elementary School Mathematics*. Columbus, OH: ERIC/SMEAC, 1981.
- Threadgill-Sowder, J. "Computational Estimation Procedures of School Children." *Journal of Educational Research* 77: 332-6; July/Aug. 1984.
- Vissa, J. M. "Inductive Pattern Search Problems for Seventh and Eighth Graders: A Study of Skills and Processes." *DAI* 45A: 2431; Feb. 1985.
- Wilde, J. M. "Setting Up Algebra Word Problems: A Task Analytic Approach to Problem Difficulty." *DAI* 44B: 2544-5; Feb. 1984.

Prepared by Marilyn N. Suydam
Associate Director, Mathematics Education



This publication was prepared with funding from the National Institute of Education, U.S. Department of Education under contract no. 400-78-0004. The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of NIE or U.S. Department of Education.